

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,373	01/27/2000	Yuzo Horikoshi	991444	9795
38834 7590 10/18/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			CAIN, EDWARD J	
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 ART UNIT 1796		PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/492,373	HORIKOSHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edward J. Cain	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONA IS IN THE MAILING THE MAILING DONA IS IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Al</u>	uaust 2007				
<u></u>		action is non-final.				
· <u>· · · ·</u>	,		osecution as to the merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
<u></u>	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,9,10,14-17 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,9,10,14-17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • •	` `			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachmen		_				
	, <u> </u>					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F				

Art Unit: 1796

The amendment received 3 August 2007 has been made of record. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14-17 and 20 are pending.

Page 2

The rejection under 35 USC 112 contained in paragraph 4 of the previous office action is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record as applied to the limitation to component (c). It is the position of the examiner that the specification as originally filed fails to provide sufficient support for the use of the two claimed species in any amounts other than those actually delineated in the examples. That is, while there may be support for 5-7% of one and 10% of the other, there is no support for 5-10% for each species.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Kamada et al.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Kamada et al, Patel et al and Fujisawa et al

Application/Control Number: 09/492,373

Art Unit: 1796

Each of these rejections is maintained for reasons of record. Applicants' arguments appear to focus on the fact that the Jones et al reference is drawn to inks while the Kamada et al reference is drawn to electrostatic toners and, therefore, non-analogous art. This argument is not persuasive since the Jones et al reference explicitly teaches that the inks of their invention are to utilize toner particles normally found in electrostatic image compositions. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would be led to the teachings of art directed to electrostatic toner compositions.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00-6:30.

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796